

A Murray-von Neumann type classification of C^* -algebras

黃毅青 Ngai-Ching Wong

Department of Applied Mathematics
National Sun Yat-sen University
Taiwan

台灣 中山大學 應用數學系

Jointed with Chi-Keung NG 吳志强 (Nankai Univ.)

C*-algebras and W*-algebras

A **C*-algebra** is a (complex) B-space A with multiplication and involution satisfying that

C*-algebras and W*-algebras

A C*-algebra is a (complex) B-space A with multiplication and involution satisfying that

$$(1) \|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \forall a, b \in A.$$

C*-algebras and W*-algebras

A C*-algebra is a (complex) B-space A with multiplication and involution satisfying that

$$(1) \|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \forall a, b \in A.$$

$$(2) \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2, \forall a \in A.$$

C*-algebras and W*-algebras

A C*-algebra is a (complex) B-space A with multiplication and involution satisfying that

$$(1) \|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \forall a, b \in A.$$

$$(2) \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2, \forall a \in A.$$

A W*-algebra is a C*-alg M with a predual M_* .

C*-algebras and W*-algebras

A C*-algebra is a (complex) B-space A with multiplication and involution satisfying that

$$(1) \|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \forall a, b \in A.$$

$$(2) \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2, \forall a \in A.$$

A W*-algebra is a C*-alg M with a predual M_* .

Gelfand-Naimark-Segal Theorem.

(a) Every abelian C*-alg $A \cong C_0(X)$ (W*-alg $M \cong C(\Omega)$) for some loc cpt Hausdorff X (hyperstonian Ω).

C*-algebras and W*-algebras

A C*-algebra is a (complex) B-space A with multiplication and involution satisfying that

$$(1) \|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \forall a, b \in A.$$

$$(2) \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2, \forall a \in A.$$

A W*-algebra is a C*-alg M with a predual M_* .

Gelfand-Naimark-Segal Theorem.

(a) Every abelian C*-alg $A \cong C_0(X)$ (W*-alg $M \cong C(\Omega)$) for some loc cpt Hausdorff X (hyperstonian Ω).

(b) Every C*-alg A (Every W*-alg M) $\hookrightarrow B(H)$ as a norm (weak*) closed *-subalg for some H-space H .

C*-algebras and W*-algebras

A C*-algebra is a (complex) B-space A with multiplication and involution satisfying that

$$(1) \|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|, \forall a, b \in A.$$

$$(2) \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2, \forall a \in A.$$

A W*-algebra is a C*-alg M with a predual M_* .

Gelfand-Naimark-Segal Theorem.

(a) Every abelian C*-alg $A \cong C_0(X)$ (W*-alg $M \cong C(\Omega)$) for some loc cpt Hausdorff X (hyperstonian Ω).

(b) Every C*-alg A (Every W*-alg M) $\hookrightarrow B(H)$ as a norm (weak*) closed *-subalg for some H-space H .

Classifications of W^* -algebras

Murray-von Neumann classification scheme:

Classifications of W^* -algebras

Murray-von Neumann classification scheme:

p is a projection in a W^* -algebra M if $p = p^2 = p^*$.

Classifications of W^* -algebras

Murray-von Neumann classification scheme:

p is a projection in a W^* -algebra M if $p = p^2 = p^*$.

A subprojection $q \leq p$ if $qp = q$.

Classifications of W^* -algebras

Murray-von Neumann classification scheme:

p is a projection in a W^* -algebra M if $p = p^2 = p^*$.

A subprojection $q \leq p$ if $qp = q$.

u in M is a partial isometry if both u^*u, uu^* are projections.

Classifications of W^* -algebras

Murray-von Neumann classification scheme:

p is a projection in a W^* -algebra M if $p = p^2 = p^*$.

A subprojection $q \leq p$ if $qp = q$.

u in M is a partial isometry if both u^*u, uu^* are projections.

Two projection p, q are **equivalent**, written $p \sim q$, if there is a partial isometry u in M such that

$$p = uu^* \quad q = u^*u.$$

Classifications of W^* -algebras

Murray-von Neumann classification scheme:

p is a projection in a W^* -algebra M if $p = p^2 = p^*$.

A subprojection $q \leq p$ if $qp = q$.

u in M is a partial isometry if both u^*u, uu^* are projections.

Two projection p, q are **equivalent**, written $p \sim q$, if there is a partial isometry u in M such that

$$p = uu^* \quad q = u^*u.$$

A projection p in a W^* -algebra M is

abelian if pMp is abelian;

A projection p in a W^* -algebra M is

abelian if pMp is abelian;

finite if $q \leq p$ with $q \sim p \implies q = p$;

A projection p in a W^* -algebra M is

abelian if pMp is abelian;

finite if $q \leq p$ with $q \sim p \implies q = p$;

infinite if p is not finite;

A projection p in a W^* -algebra M is

abelian if pMp is abelian;

finite if $q \leq p$ with $q \sim p \implies q = p$;

infinite if p is not finite;

purely infinite if p contains no nonzero finite subproj.

A projection p in a W^* -algebra M is

abelian if pMp is abelian;

finite if $q \leq p$ with $q \sim p \implies q = p$;

infinite if p is not finite;

purely infinite if p contains no nonzero finite subproj.

Clearly, abelian projections are finite.

A projection p in a W^* -algebra M is

abelian if pMp is abelian;

finite if $q \leq p$ with $q \sim p \implies q = p$;

infinite if p is not finite;

purely infinite if p contains no nonzero finite subproj.

Clearly, abelian projections are finite.

A proj z in the center of M is a central proj.

A proj z in the center of M is a central proj.

- $z_{\text{fin}} = \sup\{ \text{finite central proj in } M \},$

A proj z in the center of M is a central proj.

- $z_{\text{fin}} = \sup\{ \text{finite central proj in } M \},$

Call M

- **finite** or **properly infinite** if $z_{\text{fin}} = 1$ or 0 .

A proj z in the center of M is a central proj.

- $z_{\text{fin}} = \sup\{\text{finite central proj in } M\}$,
- $z_3 = \sup\{\text{purely infinite central proj in } M\}$.

Call M

- **finite** or **properly infinite** if $z_{\text{fin}} = 1$ or 0 .

A proj z in the center of M is a central proj.

- $z_{\text{fin}} = \sup\{\text{finite central proj in } M\}$,
- $z_3 = \sup\{\text{purely infinite central proj in } M\}$.

Call M

- **finite** or **properly infinite** if $z_{\text{fin}} = 1$ or 0 .
- **purely infinite** or **semi-finite** if $z_3 = 1$ or 0 .

Types of W^* -algebras

A W^* -algebra M is

Type I if every nonzero central proj. contains a nonzero abelian subproj.;

Types of W^* -algebras

A W^* -algebra M is

Type I if every nonzero central proj. contains a nonzero abelian subproj.;

Type II if every nonzero central proj. contains a nonzero finite subproj., but no nonzero abelian subproj.;

Types of W^* -algebras

A W^* -algebra M is

Type I if every nonzero central proj. contains a nonzero abelian subproj.;

Type II if every nonzero central proj. contains a nonzero finite subproj., but no nonzero abelian subproj.;

Type III if M is purely infinite, or $\lambda_3 = 1$, i.e., there is no nonzero finite proj. in M .

Types of W^* -algebras

A W^* -algebra M is

Type I if every nonzero central proj. contains a nonzero abelian subproj.;

Type II if every nonzero central proj. contains a nonzero finite subproj., but no nonzero abelian subproj.;

Type III if M is purely infinite, or $\alpha_3 = 1$, i.e., there is no nonzero finite proj. in M .

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

where

M_{11} is finite Type I, $M_{1\infty}$ is properly infinite Type I,

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

where

M_{11} is finite Type I, $M_{1\infty}$ is properly infinite Type I,
 M_{21} is finite Type II, $M_{2\infty}$ is properly infinite Type II,

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

where

M_{11} is finite Type I, $M_{1\infty}$ is properly infinite Type I,
 M_{21} is finite Type II, $M_{2\infty}$ is properly infinite Type II,
and M_3 is purely infinite.

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

where

M_{11} is finite Type I, $M_{1\infty}$ is properly infinite Type I, M_{21} is finite Type II, $M_{2\infty}$ is properly infinite Type II, and M_3 is purely infinite.

In this talk, we shall develop in parallel a type classification of C^* -algebras.

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

where

M_{11} is finite Type I, $M_{1\infty}$ is properly infinite Type I, M_{21} is finite Type II, $M_{2\infty}$ is properly infinite Type II, and M_3 is purely infinite.

In this talk, we shall develop in parallel a type classification of C^* -algebras.

The main difficulty is that a C^* -algebra A can have **no** nonzero projection at all.

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

where

M_{11} is finite Type I, $M_{1\infty}$ is properly infinite Type I, M_{21} is finite Type II, $M_{2\infty}$ is properly infinite Type II, and M_3 is purely infinite.

In this talk, we shall develop in parallel a type classification of C^* -algebras.

The main difficulty is that a C^* -algebra A can have **no** nonzero projection at all.

Resolve: Use “**open projections**”.

Type decomposition of a W^* -alg

$$M = M_{11} \oplus M_{1\infty} \oplus M_{21} \oplus M_{2\infty} \oplus M_3,$$

where

M_{11} is finite Type I, $M_{1\infty}$ is properly infinite Type I, M_{21} is finite Type II, $M_{2\infty}$ is properly infinite Type II, and M_3 is purely infinite.

In this talk, we shall develop in parallel a type classification of C^* -algebras.

The main difficulty is that a C^* -algebra A can have **no** nonzero projection at all.

Resolve: Use “**open projections**”.

Open projs and hered. subalgs

Let A be a C^* -alg with bidual W^* -alg A^{**} .

Open projs and hered. subalgs

Let A be a C^* -alg with bidual W^* -alg A^{**} .

A proj. $p \in A^{**}$ is an **open** proj. of A (Akemann '69) if $\exists a_\lambda \in A_+$ with $a_\lambda \uparrow p$ in $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -top.

Open projs and hered. subalgs

Let A be a C^* -alg with bidual W^* -alg A^{**} .

A proj. $p \in A^{**}$ is an **open** proj. of A (Akemann '69) if $\exists a_\lambda \in A_+$ with $a_\lambda \uparrow p$ in $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -top.

When $A = C_0(X)$ is abelian, open proj. of A are exactly characteristic functions χ_U of open subsets U of the spectrum X of A .

Open projs and hered. subalgs

Let A be a C^* -alg with bidual W^* -alg A^{**} .

A proj. $p \in A^{**}$ is an **open** proj. of A (Akemann '69) if $\exists a_\lambda \in A_+$ with $a_\lambda \uparrow p$ in $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -top.

When $A = C_0(X)$ is abelian, open proj. of A are exactly characteristic functions χ_U of open subsets U of the spectrum X of A .

In general, open projections p
 \longleftrightarrow hereditary C^* -subalgs $\text{her}(p) := pA^{**}p \cap A$ of A .

Open projs and hered. subalgs

Let A be a C^* -alg with bidual W^* -alg A^{**} .

A proj. $p \in A^{**}$ is an **open** proj. of A (Akemann '69) if $\exists a_\lambda \in A_+$ with $a_\lambda \uparrow p$ in $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -top.

When $A = C_0(X)$ is abelian, open proj. of A are exactly characteristic functions χ_U of open subsets U of the spectrum X of A .

In general, open projections p
 \longleftrightarrow hereditary C^* -subalgs $\text{her}(p) := pA^{**}p \cap A$ of A .

Moreover, central open projections z
 \longleftrightarrow closed ideals $\text{her}(z) = zA^{**} \cap A$.

Open projs and hered. subalgs

Let A be a C^* -alg with bidual W^* -alg A^{**} .

A proj. $p \in A^{**}$ is an **open** proj. of A (Akemann '69) if $\exists a_\lambda \in A_+$ with $a_\lambda \uparrow p$ in $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -top.

When $A = C_0(X)$ is abelian, open proj. of A are exactly characteristic functions χ_U of open subsets U of the spectrum X of A .

In general, open projections p
 \longleftrightarrow hereditary C^* -subalgs $\text{her}(p) := pA^{**}p \cap A$ of A .

Moreover, central open projections z
 \longleftrightarrow closed ideals $\text{her}(z) = zA^{**} \cap A$.

Open projs as open sets

Denote by $OP(A)$ the family of all open projs $p \in A^{**}$ of a C^* -alg. A .

Open projs as open sets

Denote by $OP(A)$ the family of all open projs $p \in A^{**}$ of a C^* -alg. A .

Proposition. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algs.

Open projs as open sets

Denote by $\text{OP}(A)$ the family of all open projs $p \in A^{**}$ of a C^* -alg. A .

Proposition. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algs.

1. If $\Phi : A^{**} \rightarrow B^{**}$ is a $*$ -isom s.t.
 $\Phi(\text{OP}(A)) = \text{OP}(B)$, then $\Phi(A) = B$.

Open projs as open sets

Denote by $\text{OP}(A)$ the family of all open projs $p \in A^{**}$ of a C^* -alg. A .

Proposition. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algs.

1. If $\Phi : A^{**} \rightarrow B^{**}$ is a $*$ -isom s.t.
 $\Phi(\text{OP}(A)) = \text{OP}(B)$, then $\Phi(A) = B$.
2. If B is a hereditary C^* -subalg. of A , then
 $\text{OP}(B) = \text{OP}(A) \cap B^{**}$.

Open projs as open sets

Denote by $\text{OP}(A)$ the family of all open projs $p \in A^{**}$ of a C^* -alg. A .

Proposition. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algs.

1. If $\Phi : A^{**} \rightarrow B^{**}$ is a $*$ -isom s.t.
 $\Phi(\text{OP}(A)) = \text{OP}(B)$, then $\Phi(A) = B$.
2. If B is a hereditary C^* -subalg. of A , then
 $\text{OP}(B) = \text{OP}(A) \cap B^{**}$.

Equivalences among open projs

We now consider a suitable equivalence relation on $OP(A)$.

Equivalences among open projs

We now consider a suitable equivalence relation on $OP(A)$.

A naive choice is to use the original “Murray-von Neumann equivalence” \sim_{Mv} .

Equivalences among open projs

We now consider a suitable equivalence relation on $OP(A)$.

A naive choice is to use the original “Murray-von Neumann equivalence” \sim_{Mv} .

However, this choice is not good because (H. Lin '90) tell us that two open projs

$$p \sim_{Mv} q \not\Rightarrow \text{her}(p) \cong \text{her}(q) \text{ as } C^*\text{-algs.}$$

Equivalences among open projs

We now consider a suitable equivalence relation on $OP(A)$.

A naive choice is to use the original “Murray-von Neumann equivalence” \sim_{Mv} .

However, this choice is not good because (H. Lin '90) tell us that two open projs

$$p \sim_{Mv} q \not\Rightarrow \text{her}(p) \cong \text{her}(q) \text{ as } C^*\text{-algs.}$$

On the other hand, one might define two open projs
 $p \sim_{\text{her}} q$ whenever $\text{her}(p) \cong \text{her}(q)$.

On the other hand, one might define two open projs $p \sim_{\text{her}} q$ whenever $\text{her}(p) \cong \text{her}(q)$.

The problem of this choice is that two distinct open projs $\chi_U \neq \chi_V$ of $C([0, 1])$ can be equivalent (if U, V are homeomorphic open subsets of $[0, 1]$).

On the other hand, one might define two open projs $p \sim_{\text{her}} q$ whenever $\text{her}(p) \cong \text{her}(q)$.

The problem of this choice is that two distinct open projs $\chi_U \neq \chi_V$ of $C([0, 1])$ can be equivalent (if U, V are homeomorphic open subsets of $[0, 1]$).

This means that the resulting classification, even if possible, will be very different from the Murray-von Neumann classification.

On the other hand, one might define two open projs $p \sim_{\text{her}} q$ whenever $\text{her}(p) \cong \text{her}(q)$.

The problem of this choice is that two distinct open projs $\chi_U \neq \chi_V$ of $C([0, 1])$ can be equivalent (if U, V are homeomorphic open subsets of $[0, 1]$).

This means that the resulting classification, even if possible, will be very different from the Murray-von Neumann classification.

After some thoughts, we end up with an equivalence relation.

Two open proj. $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q$ if \exists partial isom $v \in A^{**}$ s.t.

$$v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q) \quad \text{and} \quad v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p).$$

After some thoughts, we end up with an equivalence relation.

Two open proj. $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q$ if \exists partial isom $v \in A^{**}$ s.t.

$$v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q) \quad \text{and} \quad v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p).$$

Note that this relation is precisely the “hereditarily stable version” of the Murray-von Neumann equiv,

After some thoughts, we end up with an equivalence relation.

Two open proj. $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q$ if \exists partial isom $v \in A^{**}$ s.t.

$$v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q) \quad \text{and} \quad v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p).$$

Note that this relation is precisely the “hereditarily stable version” of the Murray-von Neumann equiv, and it is stronger than both \sim_{Mv} and \sim_{her} .

After some thoughts, we end up with an equivalence relation.

Two open proj. $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q$ if \exists partial isom $v \in A^{**}$ s.t.

$$v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q) \quad \text{and} \quad v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p).$$

Note that this relation is precisely the “hereditarily stable version” of the Murray-von Neumann equiv, and it is stronger than both \sim_{Mv} and \sim_{her} .

If M is a W^* -alg and p, q are proj. in M , then

$$p \sim_{\text{sp}} q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p \sim_{\text{Mv}} q.$$

After some thoughts, we end up with an equivalence relation.

Two open proj. $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q$ if \exists partial isom $v \in A^{**}$ s.t.

$$v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q) \quad \text{and} \quad v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p).$$

Note that this relation is precisely the “hereditarily stable version” of the Murray-von Neumann equiv, and it is stronger than both \sim_{Mv} and \sim_{her} .

If M is a W^* -alg and p, q are proj. in M , then

$$p \sim_{\text{sp}} q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p \sim_{\text{Mv}} q.$$

Peligrad and Zsidó ('00) introduced another equivalence relation on projections in A^{**} .

Peligrad and Zsidó ('00) introduced another equivalence relation on projections in A^{**} .

$p \sim_{\text{PZ}} q$ if there is a partial isometry $v \in A^{**}$ such that

$p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p) \subseteq A$ and $v \text{her}_A(q) \subseteq A$.

Peligrad and Zsidó ('00) introduced another equivalence relation on projections in A^{**} .

$p \sim_{\text{PZ}} q$ if there is a partial isometry $v \in A^{**}$ such that $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p) \subseteq A$ and $v \text{her}_A(q) \subseteq A$.

It is not difficult to see that \sim_{PZ} is stronger than \sim_{sp} .

Peligrad and Zsidó ('00) introduced another equivalence relation on projections in A^{**} .

$p \sim_{\text{PZ}} q$ if there is a partial isometry $v \in A^{**}$ such that $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p) \subseteq A$ and $v \text{her}_A(q) \subseteq A$.

It is not difficult to see that \sim_{PZ} is stronger than \sim_{sp} .

However, we decide to use \sim_{sp} as it seems to be more natural in the way of using open projections.

Peligrad and Zsidó ('00) introduced another equivalence relation on projections in A^{**} .

$p \sim_{\text{PZ}} q$ if there is a partial isometry $v \in A^{**}$ such that $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p) \subseteq A$ and $v \text{her}_A(q) \subseteq A$.

It is not difficult to see that \sim_{PZ} is stronger than \sim_{sp} .

However, we decide to use \sim_{sp} as it seems to be more natural in the way of using open projections.

Proposition

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$. TFAE.

Proposition

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$. TFAE.

1. $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q)$, and $v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.

Proposition

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$. TFAE.

1. $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q)$, and $v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.
2. $\text{her}(q) = u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) = u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.

Proposition

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$. TFAE.

1. $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q)$, and $v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.
2. $\text{her}(q) = u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) = u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.
3. $\text{her}(q) \subseteq u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) \subseteq u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.

Proposition

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$. TFAE.

1. $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q)$, and $v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.
2. $\text{her}(q) = u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) = u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.
3. $\text{her}(q) \subseteq u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) \subseteq u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.
4. $q \leq v^*v$ and $v \text{her}(q)v^* = \text{her}(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.

Proposition

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$. TFAE.

1. $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q)$, and $v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.
2. $\text{her}(q) = u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) = u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.
3. $\text{her}(q) \subseteq u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) \subseteq u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.
4. $q \leq v^*v$ and $v \text{her}(q)v^* = \text{her}(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.
5. \exists a partial isom $w \in A^{**}$ s.t. $p = ww^*$ and $\{w^*rw : r \in \text{OP}(A); r \leq p\} = \{s \in \text{OP}(A) : s \leq q\}$

Proposition

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$. TFAE.

1. $p = vv^*$, $q = v^*v$, $v^* \text{her}_A(p)v = \text{her}_A(q)$, and $v \text{her}_A(q)v^* = \text{her}_A(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.
2. $\text{her}(q) = u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) = u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.
3. $\text{her}(q) \subseteq u^* \text{her}(p)u$ and $\text{her}(p) \subseteq u \text{her}(q)u^*$ for a partial isom $u \in A^{**}$.
4. $q \leq v^*v$ and $v \text{her}(q)v^* = \text{her}(p)$ for a partial isom $v \in A^{**}$.
5. \exists a partial isom $w \in A^{**}$ s.t. $p = ww^*$ and
$$\{w^*rw : r \in \text{OP}(A); r \leq p\} = \{s \in \text{OP}(A) : s \leq q\}$$

A difficulty

One might attempt to define

$p \lesssim_{\text{sp}} q$ if there is $q_1 \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q_1 \leq q$.

A difficulty

One might attempt to define

$p \lesssim_{\text{sp}} q$ if there is $q_1 \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q_1 \leq q$.

However, unlike the Murray-von Neumann equivalence situation,

$$p \lesssim_{\text{sp}} q \text{ and } q \lesssim_{\text{sp}} p \not\Rightarrow p \sim_{\text{sp}} q.$$

A difficulty

One might attempt to define

$p \lesssim_{\text{sp}} q$ if there is $q_1 \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \sim_{\text{sp}} q_1 \leq q$.

However, unlike the Murray-von Neumann equivalence situation,

$$p \lesssim_{\text{sp}} q \text{ and } q \lesssim_{\text{sp}} p \not\Rightarrow p \sim_{\text{sp}} q.$$

finite and abelian open projs

finite and abelian open projs

Let $q \in \text{OP}(A)$, and p a proj in $qA^{**}q$. The *closure of p in q* , denoted by \bar{p}^q , is the smallest closed proj of $\text{her}(q)$ dominating p .

finite and abelian open projs

Let $q \in \text{OP}(A)$, and p a proj in $qA^{**}q$. The *closure of p in q* , denoted by \bar{p}^q , is the smallest closed proj of $\text{her}(q)$ dominating p .

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \leq q$. p is

finite and abelian open projs

Let $q \in \text{OP}(A)$, and p a proj in $qA^{**}q$. The *closure of p in q* , denoted by \bar{p}^q , is the smallest closed proj of $\text{her}(q)$ dominating p .

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \leq q$. p is

- i. *dense in q* if $\bar{p}^q = q$;

finite and abelian open projs

Let $q \in \text{OP}(A)$, and p a proj in $qA^{**}q$. The *closure of p in q* , denoted by \bar{p}^q , is the smallest closed proj of $\text{her}(q)$ dominating p .

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \leq q$. p is

- i. *dense in q* if $\bar{p}^q = q$;
- ii. *abelian* if $\text{her}(p)$ is abelian;

finite and abelian open projs

Let $q \in \text{OP}(A)$, and p a proj in $qA^{**}q$. The *closure of p in q* , denoted by \bar{p}^q , is the smallest closed proj of $\text{her}(q)$ dominating p .

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \leq q$. p is

- i. *dense in q* if $\bar{p}^q = q$;
- ii. *abelian* if $\text{her}(p)$ is abelian;
- iii. *C^* -finite* if $\bar{r}^s = s$ whenever $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ with $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$.

finite and abelian open projs

Let $q \in \text{OP}(A)$, and p a proj in $qA^{**}q$. The *closure of p in q* , denoted by \bar{p}^q , is the smallest closed proj of $\text{her}(q)$ dominating p .

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \leq q$. p is

- i. *dense in q* if $\bar{p}^q = q$;
- ii. *abelian* if $\text{her}(p)$ is abelian;
- iii. *C^* -finite* if $\bar{r}^s = s$ whenever $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ with $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$.

If p is dense in q , then $\text{her}(p)$ is *essential* in $\text{her}(q)$.

finite and abelian open projs

Let $q \in \text{OP}(A)$, and p a proj in $qA^{**}q$. The *closure of p in q* , denoted by \bar{p}^q , is the smallest closed proj of $\text{her}(q)$ dominating p .

Let $p, q \in \text{OP}(A)$ with $p \leq q$. p is

- i. *dense in q* if $\bar{p}^q = q$;
- ii. *abelian* if $\text{her}(p)$ is abelian;
- iii. *C^* -finite* if $\bar{r}^s = s$ whenever $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ with $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$.

If p is dense in q , then $\text{her}(p)$ is *essential* in $\text{her}(q)$.

Let $p \in \text{OP}(A)$.

Let $p \in \text{OP}(A)$.

(a) Suppose that p is abelian. If $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ satisfying $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$, then $r = s$. Thus, p is C^* -finite.

Let $p \in \text{OP}(A)$.

(a) Suppose that p is abelian. If $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ satisfying $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$, then $r = s$. Thus, p is C^* -finite.

(b) If $\text{her}(p)$ is finite dimensional, then p is C^* -finite.

Let $p \in \text{OP}(A)$.

- (a) Suppose that p is abelian. If $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ satisfying $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$, then $r = s$. Thus, p is C^* -finite.
- (b) If $\text{her}(p)$ is finite dimensional, then p is C^* -finite.
- (c) One might ask why not define C^* -finiteness of p as: for any open subproj $r \leq p$ with $r \sim_{\text{sp}} p$, one has $\bar{r}^p = p$.

Let $p \in \text{OP}(A)$.

- (a) Suppose that p is abelian. If $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ satisfying $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$, then $r = s$. Thus, p is C^* -finite.
- (b) If $\text{her}(p)$ is finite dimensional, then p is C^* -finite.
- (c) One might ask why not define C^* -finiteness of p as: for any open subproj $r \leq p$ with $r \sim_{\text{sp}} p$, one has $\bar{r}^p = p$.

The reason is that the stronger condition above ensures open subprojs of a C^* -finite proj being C^* -finite.

Let $p \in \text{OP}(A)$.

(a) Suppose that p is abelian. If $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ satisfying $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$, then $r = s$. Thus, p is C^* -finite.

(b) If $\text{her}(p)$ is finite dimensional, then p is C^* -finite.

(c) One might ask why not define C^* -finiteness of p as: for any open subproj $r \leq p$ with $r \sim_{\text{sp}} p$, one has $\bar{r}^p = p$.

The reason is that the stronger condition above ensures open subprojs of a C^* -finite proj being C^* -finite.

Such a phenomena is automatic for W^* -algs.

Let $p \in \text{OP}(A)$.

(a) Suppose that p is abelian. If $r, s \in \text{OP}(\text{her}(p))$ satisfying $r \leq s$ and $r \sim_{\text{sp}} s$, then $r = s$. Thus, p is C^* -finite.

(b) If $\text{her}(p)$ is finite dimensional, then p is C^* -finite.

(c) One might ask why not define C^* -finiteness of p as: for any open subproj $r \leq p$ with $r \sim_{\text{sp}} p$, one has $\bar{r}^p = p$.

The reason is that the stronger condition above ensures open subprojs of a C^* -finite proj being C^* -finite.

Such a phenomena is automatic for W^* -algs.

Types of C^* -algs

A C^* -alg A is said to be:

Types of C^* -algs

A C^* -alg A is said to be:

- i. C^* -finite if 1 is C^* -finite;

Types of C^* -algs

A C^* -alg A is said to be:

- i. C^* -finite if 1 is C^* -finite;
- ii. C^* -semi-finite if every nonzero open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open proj;

Types of C^* -algs

A C^* -alg A is said to be:

- i. C^* -finite if 1 is C^* -finite;
- ii. C^* -semi-finite if every nonzero open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open proj;
- iii. of Type \mathfrak{A} if every nonzero central open proj dominates a nonzero abelian open proj;

Types of C^* -algs

A C^* -alg A is said to be:

- i. C^* -finite if 1 is C^* -finite;
- ii. C^* -semi-finite if every nonzero open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open proj;
- iii. of Type \mathfrak{A} if every nonzero central open proj dominates a nonzero abelian open proj;
- iv. of Type \mathfrak{B} if every nonzero central open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open projection, but no nonzero abelian open proj;

Types of C^* -algs

A C^* -alg A is said to be:

- i. C^* -finite if 1 is C^* -finite;
- ii. C^* -semi-finite if every nonzero open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open proj;
- iii. of Type \mathfrak{A} if every nonzero central open proj dominates a nonzero abelian open proj;
- iv. of Type \mathfrak{B} if every nonzero central open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open projection, but no nonzero abelian open proj;
- v. of Type \mathfrak{C} if there is no nonzero C^* -finite open projections.

Types of C^* -algs

A C^* -alg A is said to be:

- i. C^* -finite if 1 is C^* -finite;
- ii. C^* -semi-finite if every nonzero open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open proj;
- iii. of Type \mathfrak{A} if every nonzero central open proj dominates a nonzero abelian open proj;
- iv. of Type \mathfrak{B} if every nonzero central open proj dominates a nonzero C^* -finite open projection, but no nonzero abelian open proj;
- v. of Type \mathfrak{C} if there is no nonzero C^* -finite open projections.

A C^* -algebra A is

A C^* -algebra A is

- C^* -finite iff for each hered C^* -subalg $B \subseteq A$, every hered C^* -subalg of B which is spatially isomorphic to B , is essential in B ;

A C^* -algebra A is

- C^* -finite iff for each hered C^* -subalg $B \subseteq A$, every hered C^* -subalg of B which is spatially isomorphic to B , is essential in B ;
- C^* -semi-finite iff every non-zero hered C^* -subalg of A contains a non-zero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg;

- of type \mathfrak{A} iff every non-zero closed ideal of A contains a non-zero abelian hereditary C^* -subalgebra;

- of type \mathfrak{A} iff every non-zero closed ideal of A contains a non-zero abelian hered C^* -subalg;
- of type \mathfrak{B} iff A does not contain any non-zero abelian hered C^* -subalg and every non-zero closed ideal of A contains a non-zero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg;

- of type \mathfrak{A} iff every non-zero closed ideal of A contains a non-zero abelian hered C^* -subalg;
- of type \mathfrak{B} iff A does not contain any non-zero abelian hered C^* -subalg and every non-zero closed ideal of A contains a non-zero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg;
- of type \mathfrak{C} iff A does not contain any non-zero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg.

- of type \mathfrak{A} iff every non-zero closed ideal of A contains a non-zero abelian hered C^* -subalg;
- of type \mathfrak{B} iff A does not contain any non-zero abelian hered C^* -subalg and every non-zero closed ideal of A contains a non-zero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg;
- of type \mathfrak{C} iff A does not contain any non-zero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg.

Let A be a general C^* -algebra.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff \exists abelian hereditary C^* -subalg of A generating an essential closed ideal of A .

Let A be a general C^* -algebra.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff \exists abelian hered C^* -subalg of A generating an essential closed ideal of A .

(b) A is C^* -semi-finite iff \exists C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg of A generating an essential closed ideal of A .

Let A be a general C^* -algebra.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff \exists abelian hered C^* -subalg of A generating an essential closed ideal of A .

(b) A is C^* -semi-finite iff \exists C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg of A generating an essential closed ideal of A .

Suppose that A is simple.

Suppose that A is simple.

(a) A is either of type \mathfrak{A} , type \mathfrak{B} or type \mathfrak{C} .

Suppose that A is simple.

- (a) A is either of type \mathfrak{A} , type \mathfrak{B} or type \mathfrak{C} .
- (b) A is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if A is of type I.

Suppose that A is simple.

- (a) A is either of type \mathfrak{A} , type \mathfrak{B} or type \mathfrak{C} .
- (b) A is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if A is of type I.
- (c) If A is of type II in the sense of (Cuntz and Pedersen '79), then A is of type \mathfrak{B} .

Suppose that A is simple.

(a) A is either of type \mathfrak{A} , type \mathfrak{B} or type \mathfrak{C} .

(b) A is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if A is of type I.

(c) If A is of type II in the sense of (Cuntz and Pedersen '79), then A is of type \mathfrak{B} .

(d) If A is purely infinite in the sense of (Cuntz '81), then A is of type \mathfrak{C} .

Suppose that A is simple.

(a) A is either of type \mathfrak{A} , type \mathfrak{B} or type \mathfrak{C} .

(b) A is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if A is of type I.

(c) If A is of type II in the sense of (Cuntz and Pedersen '79), then A is of type \mathfrak{B} .

(d) If A is purely infinite in the sense of (Cuntz '81), then A is of type \mathfrak{C} .

Let A be a C^* -algebra with real rank zero.

Let A be a C^* -algebra with real rank zero.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff every nonzero proj in A dominates a nonzero abelian proj in A .

Let A be a C^* -algebra with real rank zero.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff every nonzero proj in A dominates a nonzero abelian proj in A .

(b) A is of type \mathfrak{B} iff every nonzero proj in A is non-abelian but dominates a nonzero C^* -finite proj in A .

Let A be a C^* -algebra with real rank zero.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff every nonzero proj in A dominates a nonzero abelian proj in A .

(b) A is of type \mathfrak{B} iff every nonzero proj in A is non-abelian but dominates a nonzero C^* -finite proj in A .

(c) A is of type \mathfrak{C} iff A does not contain any non-zero C^* -finite proj.

Let A be a C^* -algebra with real rank zero.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff every nonzero proj in A dominates a nonzero abelian proj in A .

(b) A is of type \mathfrak{B} iff every nonzero proj in A is non-abelian but dominates a nonzero C^* -finite proj in A .

(c) A is of type \mathfrak{C} iff A does not contain any non-zero C^* -finite proj.

(d) A is C^* -semi-finite iff every nonzero proj in A dominates a nonzero C^* -finite proj in A .

Let A be a C^* -algebra with real rank zero.

(a) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff every nonzero proj in A dominates a nonzero abelian proj in A .

(b) A is of type \mathfrak{B} iff every nonzero proj in A is non-abelian but dominates a nonzero C^* -finite proj in A .

(c) A is of type \mathfrak{C} iff A does not contain any non-zero C^* -finite proj.

(d) A is C^* -semi-finite iff every nonzero proj in A dominates a nonzero C^* -finite proj in A .

(a) Let A and B be two strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algs.

Then A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -smei-finite) iff B is of the same type.

(a) Let A and B be two strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algs.

Then A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -smei-finite) iff B is of the same type.

(b) A is C^* -semi-finite iff any non-zero closed ideal of A contains a nonzero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg.

(a) Let A and B be two strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algs.

Then A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -smei-finite) iff B is of the same type.

(b) A is C^* -semi-finite iff any non-zero closed ideal of A contains a nonzero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg.

(c) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff it is discrete, i.e.,

any non-zero open proj of A dominates a non-zero abelian open proj.

(a) Let A and B be two strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algs.

Then A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -smei-finite) iff B is of the same type.

(b) A is C^* -semi-finite iff any non-zero closed ideal of A contains a nonzero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg.

(c) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff it is discrete, i.e.,

any non-zero open proj of A dominates a non-zero abelian open proj.

(d) A is of type \mathfrak{B} iff it is anti-liminary and C^* -semi-finite.

(a) Let A and B be two strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algs.

Then A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -smei-finite) iff B is of the same type.

(b) A is C^* -semi-finite iff any non-zero closed ideal of A contains a nonzero C^* -finite hered C^* -subalg.

(c) A is of type \mathfrak{A} iff it is discrete, i.e.,

any non-zero open proj of A dominates a non-zero abelian open proj.

(d) A is of type \mathfrak{B} iff it is anti-liminary and C^* -semi-finite.

Hereditability of types

Let A be of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

Hereditability of types

Let A be of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(a) If B is a hered C^* -subalg of A , then B is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

Hereditability of types

Let A be of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(a) If B is a hered C^* -subalg of A , then B is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(b) If A is a hered C^* -subalg of D , the closed ideal $I \subseteq D$ generated by A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

Hereditability of types

Let A be of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(a) If B is a hered C^* -subalg of A , then B is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(b) If A is a hered C^* -subalg of D , the closed ideal $I \subseteq D$ generated by A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(c) Suppose $1 \notin A$. Then the unitalization \tilde{A} is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite). The same is true for the multiplier alg $M(A)$.

Hereditability of types

Let A be of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(a) If B is a hered C^* -subalg of A , then B is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(b) If A is a hered C^* -subalg of D , the closed ideal $I \subseteq D$ generated by A is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite).

(c) Suppose $1 \notin A$. Then the unitalization \tilde{A} is of type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , or C^* -semi-finite). The same is true for the multiplier alg $M(A)$.

Type \mathfrak{A} algebras

(a) Any type I C^* -algebra is of type \mathfrak{A} .

Type \mathfrak{A} algebras

(a) Any type I C^* -algebra is of type \mathfrak{A} .

(b) A is of type I iff every primitive quotient of A is of type \mathfrak{A} .

Type \mathfrak{A} algebras

(a) Any type I C^* -algebra is of type \mathfrak{A} .

(b) A is of type I iff every primitive quotient of A is of type \mathfrak{A} .

(c) If A is of type \mathfrak{A} and contains no essential primitive ideal, then A is of type I.

Type \mathfrak{A} algebras

- (a) Any type I C^* -algebra is of type \mathfrak{A} .
- (b) A is of type I iff every primitive quotient of A is of type \mathfrak{A} .
- (c) If A is of type \mathfrak{A} and contains no essential primitive ideal, then A is of type I.
- (d) If A is a simple C^* -alg of type \mathfrak{A} , then $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$ for some Hilbert space H . If, in addition, A is C^* -finite, then $A = M_n$ for some positive integer n .

Type \mathfrak{A} algebras

- (a) Any type I C^* -algebra is of type \mathfrak{A} .
- (b) A is of type I iff every primitive quotient of A is of type \mathfrak{A} .
- (c) If A is of type \mathfrak{A} and contains no essential primitive ideal, then A is of type I.
- (d) If A is a simple C^* -alg of type \mathfrak{A} , then $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$ for some Hilbert space H . If, in addition, A is C^* -finite, then $A = M_n$ for some positive integer n .

Type II and III algs

Following (Cuntz and Pedersen, '79), $x \in A_+$ is *finite* if for any sequence $\{z_k\}$ in A with $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^* z_k$ and $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k z_k^* \leq x$, one has $y = x$.

Type II and III algs

Following (Cuntz and Pedersen, '79), $x \in A_+$ is *finite* if for any sequence $\{z_k\}$ in A with $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^* z_k$ and $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k z_k^* \leq x$, one has $y = x$.

A is *finite* (resp, *semi-finite*) if every nonzero $x \in A_+$ is finite (resp, x dominates a non-zero finite element).

Type II and III algs

Following (Cuntz and Pedersen, '79), $x \in A_+$ is *finite* if for any sequence $\{z_k\}$ in A with $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^* z_k$ and $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k z_k^* \leq x$, one has $y = x$.

A is *finite* (resp, *semi-finite*) if every nonzero $x \in A_+$ is finite (resp, x dominates a non-zero finite element).

Furthermore, A is of *type II* if it is anti-liminary and finite,

Type II and III algs

Following (Cuntz and Pedersen, '79), $x \in A_+$ is *finite* if for any sequence $\{z_k\}$ in A with $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^* z_k$ and $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k z_k^* \leq x$, one has $y = x$.

A is *finite* (resp, *semi-finite*) if every nonzero $x \in A_+$ is finite (resp, x dominates a non-zero finite element).

Furthermore, A is of *type II* if it is anti-liminary and finite,

while A is of *type III* if it has no non-zero finite element.

Type II and III algs

Following (Cuntz and Pedersen, '79), $x \in A_+$ is *finite* if for any sequence $\{z_k\}$ in A with $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^* z_k$ and $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k z_k^* \leq x$, one has $y = x$.

A is *finite* (resp, *semi-finite*) if every nonzero $x \in A_+$ is finite (resp, x dominates a non-zero finite element).

Furthermore, A is of *type II* if it is anti-liminary and finite,

while A is of *type III* if it has no non-zero finite element.

Type \mathfrak{B} and C^* -semi-finite algs

(a) Infinite dim C^* -finite simple C^* -algs are of type \mathfrak{B} .

Type \mathfrak{B} and C^* -semi-finite algs

- (a) Infinite dim C^* -finite simple C^* -algs are of type \mathfrak{B} .
- (b) If tracial states on A separates points of A_+ , then A is C^* -finite.

Type \mathfrak{B} and C^* -semi-finite algs

- (a) Infinite dim C^* -finite simple C^* -algs are of type \mathfrak{B} .
- (b) If tracial states on A separates points of A_+ , then A is C^* -finite.
- (c) If A is an infinite dim simple C^* -alg with a faithful tracial state, then A is of type \mathfrak{B} .

Type \mathfrak{B} and C^* -semi-finite algs

- (a) Infinite dim C^* -finite simple C^* -algs are of type \mathfrak{B} .
- (b) If tracial states on A separates points of A_+ , then A is C^* -finite.
- (c) If A is an infinite dim simple C^* -alg with a faithful tracial state, then A is of type \mathfrak{B} .
- (d) Every simple AF alg, not of the form $\mathcal{K}(H)$, is of type \mathfrak{B} .

Type \mathfrak{B} and C^* -semi-finite algs

- (a) Infinite dim C^* -finite simple C^* -algs are of type \mathfrak{B} .
- (b) If tracial states on A separates points of A_+ , then A is C^* -finite.
- (c) If A is an infinite dim simple C^* -alg with a faithful tracial state, then A is of type \mathfrak{B} .
- (d) Every simple AF alg, not of the form $\mathcal{K}(H)$, is of type \mathfrak{B} .
- (e) If A is finite (resp, semi-finite, of type II), then A is C^* -finite (resp, C^* -semi-finite, of type \mathfrak{B}).

Type \mathcal{C} algebras

(a) If A is of type \mathcal{C} , then it is of type III.

Type \mathcal{C} algebras

- (a) If A is of type \mathcal{C} , then it is of type III.
- (b) If A has real rank zero and is purely infinite, then it is of type \mathcal{C} .

Type \mathcal{C} algebras

- (a) If A is of type \mathcal{C} , then it is of type III.
- (b) If A has real rank zero and is purely infinite, then it is of type \mathcal{C} .
- (c) If A is a separable purely infinite C^* -alg with stable rank one, then A is of type \mathcal{C} .

Type \mathcal{C} algebras

- (a) If A is of type \mathcal{C} , then it is of type III.
- (b) If A has real rank zero and is purely infinite, then it is of type \mathcal{C} .
- (c) If A is a separable purely infinite C^* -alg with stable rank one, then A is of type \mathcal{C} .
- (d) Any purely infinite C^* -algebra A is of type III.

The case of W^* -algs

Let M be a W^* -algebra.

(a) A proj $p \in M$ is finite iff it is C^* -finite.

The case of W^* -algs

Let M be a W^* -algebra.

(a) A proj $p \in M$ is finite iff it is C^* -finite.

(b) M is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if M is a type I von Neumann algebra.

The case of W^* -algs

Let M be a W^* -algebra.

(a) A proj $p \in M$ is finite iff it is C^* -finite.

(b) M is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if M is a type I von Neumann algebra.

(c) M is of type \mathfrak{B} if and only if M is a type II von Neumann algebra.

The case of W^* -algs

Let M be a W^* -algebra.

- (a) A proj $p \in M$ is finite iff it is C^* -finite.
- (b) M is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if M is a type I von Neumann algebra.
- (c) M is of type \mathfrak{B} if and only if M is a type II von Neumann algebra.
- (s) M is of type \mathfrak{C} if and only if M is a type III von Neumann algebra.

The case of W^* -algs

Let M be a W^* -algebra.

(a) A proj $p \in M$ is finite iff it is C^* -finite.

(b) M is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if M is a type I von Neumann algebra.

(c) M is of type \mathfrak{B} if and only if M is a type II von Neumann algebra.

(s) M is of type \mathfrak{C} if and only if M is a type III von Neumann algebra.

(e) M is C^* -semi-finite if and only if M is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra.

The case of W^* -algs

Let M be a W^* -algebra.

- (a) A proj $p \in M$ is finite iff it is C^* -finite.
- (b) M is of type \mathfrak{A} if and only if M is a type I von Neumann algebra.
- (c) M is of type \mathfrak{B} if and only if M is a type II von Neumann algebra.
- (s) M is of type \mathfrak{C} if and only if M is a type III von Neumann algebra.
- (e) M is C^* -semi-finite if and only if M is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra.

Type factorizations

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

(a) \exists a largest type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , and C^* -semi-finite) hered C^* -subalg $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$ (resp, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and J_{sf}) of A , which is also an ideal of A .

Type factorizations

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

(a) \exists a largest type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , and C^* -semi-finite) hered C^* -subalg $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$ (resp, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and J_{sf}) of A , which is also an ideal of A .

Type factorizations

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

(a) \exists a largest type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , and C^* -semi-finite) hered C^* -subalg $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$ (resp, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and J_{sf}) of A , which is also an ideal of A .

(b) $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are mutually disjoint s.t. $J_{\mathfrak{A}} + J_{\mathfrak{B}} + J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an essential closed ideal of A .

Type factorizations

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

(a) \exists a largest type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , and C^* -semi-finite) hered C^* -subalg $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$ (resp, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and J_{sf}) of A , which is also an ideal of A .

(b) $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are mutually disjoint s.t. $J_{\mathfrak{A}} + J_{\mathfrak{B}} + J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an essential closed ideal of A .

If $e_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $e_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are central open projs in A^{**} with $J_{\mathfrak{A}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{A}})$, $J_{\mathfrak{B}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{B}})$ and $J_{\mathfrak{C}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{C}})$, then

$$1 = \overline{e_{\mathfrak{A}} + e_{\mathfrak{B}}}^1 + e_{\mathfrak{C}}.$$

Type factorizations

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

(a) \exists a largest type \mathfrak{A} (resp, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{C} , and C^* -semi-finite) hered C^* -subalg $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$ (resp, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and J_{sf}) of A , which is also an ideal of A .

(b) $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are mutually disjoint s.t. $J_{\mathfrak{A}} + J_{\mathfrak{B}} + J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an essential closed ideal of A .

If $e_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $e_{\mathfrak{B}}$, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are central open projs in A^{**} with $J_{\mathfrak{A}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{A}})$, $J_{\mathfrak{B}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{B}})$ and $J_{\mathfrak{C}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{C}})$, then

$$1 = \overline{e_{\mathfrak{A}} + e_{\mathfrak{B}}}^1 + e_{\mathfrak{C}}.$$

(c) $J_{\mathfrak{A}} + J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is an essential closed ideal of $J_{\mathfrak{Sf}}$.

If $e_{\mathfrak{Sf}}$ is the central open proj of A with $J_{\mathfrak{Sf}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{Sf}})$, then

$$e_{\mathfrak{Sf}} = \overline{e_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{e_{\mathfrak{Sf}}} + e_{\mathfrak{B}}.$$

(c) $J_{\mathfrak{A}} + J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is an essential closed ideal of $J_{\mathfrak{Sf}}$.

If $e_{\mathfrak{Sf}}$ is the central open proj of A with $J_{\mathfrak{Sf}} = \text{her}(e_{\mathfrak{Sf}})$, then

$$e_{\mathfrak{Sf}} = \overline{e_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{e_{\mathfrak{Sf}}} + e_{\mathfrak{B}}.$$

(d) $A/J_{\mathfrak{C}}^A$ is C^* -semi-finite and $A/(J_{\mathfrak{A}}^A)^\perp$ is of type \mathfrak{A} .

(c) $J_{\mathfrak{A}} + J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is an essential closed ideal of J_{sf} .

If e_{sf} is the central open proj of A with $J_{\text{sf}} = \text{her}(e_{\text{sf}})$, then

$$e_{\text{sf}} = \overline{e_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{e_{\text{sf}}} + e_{\mathfrak{B}}.$$

(d) $A/J_{\mathfrak{C}}^A$ is C^* -semi-finite and $A/(J_{\mathfrak{A}}^A)^\perp$ is of type \mathfrak{A} .

(e) If A is C^* -semi-finite, then $A/J_{\mathfrak{B}}^A$ is of type \mathfrak{A} .

(c) $J_{\mathfrak{A}} + J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is an essential closed ideal of J_{sf} .

If e_{sf} is the central open proj of A with $J_{\text{sf}} = \text{her}(e_{\text{sf}})$, then

$$e_{\text{sf}} = \overline{e_{\mathfrak{A}}}^{e_{\text{sf}}} + e_{\mathfrak{B}}.$$

(d) $A/J_{\mathfrak{C}}^A$ is C^* -semi-finite and $A/(J_{\mathfrak{A}}^A)^\perp$ is of type \mathfrak{A} .

(e) If A is C^* -semi-finite, then $A/J_{\mathfrak{B}}^A$ is of type \mathfrak{A} .

Papers of the speaker can be found at
<http://www.math.nsysu.edu.tw/~wong>

Papers of the speaker can be found at
<http://www.math.nsysu.edu.tw/~wong>

Thank you!

Papers of the speaker can be found at
<http://www.math.nsysu.edu.tw/~wong>

Thank you!

A Chinese dragon story

莊子·列禦寇:

“朱泚漫學屠龍於支離益, 殫千金之家, 三年技成, 而無所用其巧”。

A Chinese dragon story

莊子·列禦寇:

“朱泚漫學屠龍於支離益, 殫千金之家, 三年技成, 而無所用其巧”。

Quoted from **Zhuang-zi** (a Chinese philosopher):

“A man Zhu learned the fine skill of killing dragons from Master Chi. Has spent all his money, he became a master in three years. However, he then found no dragon at all in the world.”

A Chinese dragon story

莊子·列禦寇:

“朱泚漫學屠龍於支離益, 殫千金之家, 三年技成, 而無所用其巧”。

Quoted from **Zhuang-zi** (a Chinese philosopher):

“A man Zhu learned the fine skill of killing dragons from Master Chi. Has spent all his money, he became a master in three years. However, he then found no dragon at all in the world.”